



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,103	10/14/2004	Kari J Niemi	60091.00348	9602

32294 7590 12/13/2005

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
14TH FLOOR
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182

EXAMINER

BALAOING, ARIEL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2683

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/511,103

Applicant(s)

NIEMI, KARI J

Examiner

Ariel Balaoing

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 7, 12, 17, and 19, the applicant argues that "SONTI fails to disclose or suggest at least the feature of maintaining a value on at least two different levels for at least a first parameter, the first level being more individualizing than the second level, and maintaining at least two service data profiles for the service" (see pg. 11, paragraphs 2 and 3 of the remarks); the examiner respectfully disagrees. As noted in the original office action, SONTI discloses maintaining a value on at least two different levels for at least a first parameter (abstract; col. 6, lines 5-23; SONTI discloses an embodiment wherein the feature lists can be selected according to various criteria, including different time of day, geographic location), the first level being more individualizing than the second level, and maintaining at least two service data profiles for the service (col. 7, line 47-col. 8, line 33; the first level disclosed by SONTI is the default feature setting, while a more individualizing feature set can be selected after registration has occurred).

Furthermore, the applicant argues that "SONTI fails to disclose or suggest the feature of maintaining a value on at least two levels, it follows that SONTI fails to disclose or suggest the feature of maintaining at least two service data profiles wherein the profiles includes definitions of the levels" (see page 12, paragraph 1 of the remarks); the examiner respectfully disagrees. As can be seen in col. 6, lines 5-23, the differing

profiles comprise definitions of the levels for the parameters. The profiles are clearly defined and differ from each other, which include the varying features for each profile (See also Figure 4b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SONTI et al(US 6,108,540).

Regarding claim 1, SONTI discloses a method for personalizing a service in a telecommunications system (abstract), the method comprising at least the steps of maintaining a parameter list [feature list] for a service (abstract), the list comprising parameters associated with the service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), further comprising the steps of maintaining a value on at least two different levels for at least a first parameter (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the first level [active profile] being more individualizing then the second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30); and maintaining at least two service data profiles for the service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the profiles both comprising definitions of the levels for the parameters and the profiles differing from one another at least in that in the first service data profile

the first parameter value is on the first level, whereas in the second service data profile it is on the second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30).

Regarding claim 2, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses further comprising the steps of indicating the service data profile to be used for providing a service to a subscriber in subscriber data residing in the system (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30; feature list is chosen by the subscriber); and providing the service to the subscriber by using the values defined for the parameters on the levels according to the service data profile definitions (column 7:lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 3, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the first level is a system or service specific level [feature lists] (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30) and the second level is a subscription specific level [active profile] (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30) in which a parameter value is separately defined for each subscription in the system (column 2:line 43-column 3:line 11).

Regarding claim 4, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the parameters of the parameter list that are not available for a particular service data profile are indicated in the service data profile (column 6:lines 5-23; the number of permitted features is shown).

Regarding claim 5, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses further comprising the step of determining in the service data profile not only common parameters included in each service data profile, but also service data profile specific parameters that relate only to the service data profile in question (column 5:line 56-column 6:line 4; common profile information is formed with feature list).

Regarding claim 6, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses further comprising the step of maintaining a parameter list for a service (column 6:lines 31-44), the list being associated with the first service data profile and comprising references only to parameters associated with the first service data profile (column 6:lines 31-63).

Regarding claim 7, SONTI discloses a telecommunications system software product comprising a computer readable program [software] stored in a program storage means [database] (column 4:lines 55-65; column 6:lines 31-44), the program comprising a first routine for maintaining a parameter list for a service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the list comprising parameters associated with the service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), wherein the program comprises a second routine for maintaining at least a first parameter value on at least two different levels (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the first level being more individualizing than the second level [active profile] (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), and a third routine for maintaining at least two service data profiles for the service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column

6:lines 5-30), the profiles both comprising definitions of the levels for the parameters and the profiles differing from one another at least in that in the first service data profile the value of the first parameter is on the first level column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30 (), whereas in the second service data profile it is on the second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30).

Regarding claim 8, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the program comprises a fourth routine to indicate in the system subscriber data the service data profile to be used for providing a service to the subscriber (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30; feature list is chosen by the subscriber), and a fifth routine for providing the service to the subscriber by using the parameter level values defined on the bases of the service data profile definitions (column 7:lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 9, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the program further comprises a routine for identifying those parameters in a parameter list which are not available in the service data profile (column 6:lines 5-23; the number of permitted features is shown).

Regarding claim 10, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the program further comprises a routine for identifying in the service data profile not only common parameters included in each service data profile, but also service data profile specific

parameters that relate only to the service data profile in question (column 5:line 56-column 6:line 4; common profile information is formed with feature list).

Regarding claim 11, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the program further comprises a routine for maintaining for the service a parameter list associated with the first service data profile (column 6:lines 31-44), the list including references only to parameters associated with the first service data profile (column 6:lines 31-63).

Regarding claim 12, SONTI discloses a telecommunications system (abstract) comprising a network node [HLR/MS] for maintaining for a service a parameter list of parameters [feature list] associated with the service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), wherein the system is configured to maintain at least a first parameter value on at least two different levels (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the first level [active profile] being more individualizing then the second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30); and to maintain at least two service profiles for the service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the profiles both comprising definitions of the levels for the parameters and the profiles differing from one another at least in that in the first service data profile the value of the first parameter is on the first level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), whereas in the second service data profile it is on the second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30).

Regarding claim 13, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the system is

further configured to indicate in the system subscriber data the service data profile to be used for providing the service to a subscriber (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30; feature list is chosen by the subscriber); and to provide the service to the subscriber by using parameter values defined on levels according to the service data profile definitions (column 7:lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 14, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the system is further configured to indicate the parameters that are not available for the service data profile (column 6:lines 5-23; the number of permitted features is shown).

Regarding claim 15, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the system is further configured to maintain for the service a parameter list associated with the first service data profile, the list including references only to parameters associated with the first service data profile (column 5:line 56-column 6:line 4; common profile information is formed with feature list).

Regarding claim 16, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the system is further configured to maintain for the service a parameter list associated with the first service data profile (column 6:lines 31-44), the list including references only to parameters associated with the first service data profile (column 6:lines 31-63).

Regarding claim 17, SONTI discloses a network node [HLR/MSC] for maintaining a parameter list of parameters associated with a service in a telecommunications

system (abstract; column 4:line 66-column 5:line 12), wherein the network node comprises memory means [information bank] for maintaining a value for at least a first parameter on at least two different levels (column 5:line 31-column 6:line 5), the first level [active profile] being more individualizing than the second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30); and for maintaining at least two service data profiles for a service (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), the profiles both comprising parameter level definitions and the profiles differing from one another at least in that in the first service data profile the value of the first parameter is on a first level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), whereas in the second service data profile it is on a second level (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30).

Regarding claim 18, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the network node is a service database in a mobile communications system (column 4:line 66-column 5:line 12; HLRs are inherently used to store a database of subscriber information in a cellular system).

Regarding claim 19, SONTI discloses a network node for providing a service in a telecommunications system in which a list of the parameters for the service is maintained (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30), wherein for providing the service to the subscriber, the network node comprises: a first routine to find out in the subscriber data of the system which one of the service data profiles of the service has been subscribed to (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-

30), the service data profiles comprising definitions of the levels for the parameters and the profiles differing from one another in relation to at least one parameter level definition (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30); and a second routine for retrieving the parameter values from the levels based on the definitions in the service data profile (column 2:line 55-column 3:line 41; column 6:lines 5-30).

Regarding claim 20, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependant upon. SONTI further discloses wherein the network node is a service platform [software] in a mobile communications system (column 4:lines 55-65; column 6:lines 31-44).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ariel Balaoing whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2683

7317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 AM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ariel Balaoing
Art Unit 2683
Patent Examiner

AB


WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600